

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 10/028,989 | | 12/28/2001 | Ronald J. Pettis | 7767-177409 | 4392 | |
| 32330 | 7590 | 01/21/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385 | | | | WILLIAMS, CAT | WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE | |
| | | 20043-9998 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | | 3763 | 9 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 0 |
|---|---|---|-------|
| • | 10/028,989 | PETTIS ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Catherine S. Williams | 3763 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | - |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewithin the statutory minimum of thind till apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Oc</u> | | | |
| , ,— | action is non-final. | | •- |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | IS |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 27 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-26 and 28-68 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | rawn from consideration. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | • | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable | | by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | • | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | and a SELLOO | C 440(a) (d) as (b) | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting since a specific reference was included in the first stream of the foreign language processing the processing since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language processing the processing stream of the foreign language processing the processing stream of the foreign language processing stream of the first sentence of the foreign language processing stream of the first sentence of the priority documents are processing stream of the first sentence of the priority documents are processing stream of the first sentence of the priority documents are priority documents. | s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not c priority under 35 U.S.C. st sentence of the specific evisional application has b c priority under 35 U.S.C. | pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sleen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specif | heet. |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 | 5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group A (related to administration of a substance over not more than 10 minutes) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 5 and 27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 10/24/2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the foreign patent documents and the other prior art references referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 17 is identical to claim 12 and both depend directly from claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 39 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 39 is identical to claim 34 and both depend directly from claim 18. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims recite a "microneedle has an outlet of from 0 to 1 mm".

One cannot ascertain if the dimension is referring to the diameter, height or length of the outlet. It is suggested that claim language be added to reference the dimension such as —the microneedle has an outlet of from 0 to 1 mm along the length of the needle—.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 9, 10-22, 31-40, 44-47, 51, 55-58 and 62-63 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross (US Pat# 5,527,288). Gross discloses a intradermal drug delivery device that includes administering a substance through a small gauge hollow needle having on outlet with an exposed height of between most preferably .3 to 1.0mm which as disclosed would result in delivery of the substance at a depth of between .3 to 2 mm. See 2:18-21. The diameter of the needle is 0.1-0.2mm. The substances for injection include a variety of

Art Unit: 3763

substances that include peptides, proteins, hormones, insulin, nucleic acids, and hydrophobic and hydrophilic compositions. See 6:59+. As shown in figure 3, the needle is inserted perpendicularly into the skin. Means for actively discharging the drug include an infusion pump. See 2:31-35. Example 1 and 2 disclose an infusion flow rate of 0.1 ml/min. See 10:60+.

Page 4

Claims 1, 4, 18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gross (US Pat# 5,800,420). Gross discloses an intradermal drug delivery device that includes administering a substance through a small gauge hollow needle having an outlet with an exposed height of between most preferably .3 to 3.0 mm which as disclosed would result in delivery of the substance at a depth of between .3 to 2 mm. See 10:32-39. The disclosure also indicates that the device can be used to deliver a bolus injection (inherently less than 10 minutes in duration). See 3:29-32.

Claims 18, 23-25, 40 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Palmer (US Pat# 6,537,242). Palmer discloses an intradermal drug delivery device that includes an array of microneedles that includes at least 6 needles. See figure 5. It is noted that these claims have been given a priority date back to 6/29/2001.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Art Unit: 3763

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-68 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 12-25, 31-47, 53-56, 62-63 of copending Application No. 09/893,746. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the both claim intradermal injection with enhanced bioavailablity of an injected substance to a patient.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pat#s 4,512,767 and 5,925,739 discloses devices analogous in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 703-308-4846.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2192.

Catherine S. Williams January 12, 2004

BILLIEN STOOT